IFW AF/155

Practitioner's Docket N **PATENT**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: SOLOMON SUNDAR MANOHARAN

Serial No.: 09/829414 Filed: APRIL 9, 2001 Group No.: 1755

Examiner: C. MELISSA KOSLOW

MAGNETO-RESISTIVE Cr02 POLYMER COMPOSITE BLEND For:

> RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE **EXAMINING GROUP**

Mail Stop AF **Commissioner for Patents** P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

To take advantage of the expedited procedure the envelope in which this paper is mailed must be addressed as shown and must also be marked "Box AF" in the lower left hand corner. Alternatively, this paper can be hand carried to the particular Examining Group or other area of the Office in which the application is pending, in which case any envelope in which this paper is placed must be marked as in the bold type box above. Notice of Sept. 20, 1985 (1059 O.G. 19-20).

AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION—TRANSMITTAL

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box X 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. 1.10*

| X | with sufficient postage as first class mail. | | as "Express Mail Post Office to Address" Mailing Label No (mandatory) |
|-------|--|------------------------|--|
| | • | TRANSMISSION | |
| | transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and | Trademark Office. | |
| | | Signat | ure |
| Date: | April 26, 2004 | <u>JOHN</u> (type o | RICHARDS or priny name of person certifying) |

*WARNING:

Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. 1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will not be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.

| 1. | Transmi | itted herewi | ith is an amendm | ent after fir | nal rejection | (37 C.F.R. 1.116 | 6) for th | is application. | |
|--------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| NOTE: | Response to Final Rejection—Avoiding Extension Fees "In patent applications wherein a three month Shortened Statutory Period (SSP) is set for response to a Final Rejection, the response would best be filed within two months of the date of the Office Action, If filed within two months, any Advisory Action mailed after the SSP expires will reset the SSP to expire on the date of the Advisory Action for extension fee purposes, but never more than six months from the date of the Final Rejection." Notice of Nov. 30, 1990 (1122 O.G. 571 to 591). | | | | | | | expires will reset | |
| | | | | STATI | JS | | | | |
| 2. | The application is qualified as | | | | | | | | |
| | | a small en | tity. | | | | | | |
| | X | other than a small entity. | | | | | | | |
| | | | EXT | ENSION | OF TERM | Į. | | | |
| NOTE: | As to a S O.G. 34- | upplemental / 35) states: | Amendment filed in | response to a | a final office o | action, the Notice of | Decemb | er 10, 1985 (1061 | |
| | | "If a timely filing and/or | r entry of a Notice o, ened statutory perio Of course, if a Notic to run " | Appeal or fill od unless the leter of Appeal h | ing ana/or en timely-filed re as been filed v | tion, an extension of try of an additional of sponse placed the a within the shortened | pplicatio | n in condition for | |
| 3. | | | (comple | te (a) or (b, |), as applic | able) | | | |
| | (a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months | | | | | | | .136 checked below: | |
| | | Extension (months) | | | for other t | han | | entity | |
| | | one mont | | \$ | 110.00 | | - | 5.00 | |
| | | two mon | ths | \$ | 420.00 | | \$ 21 | 0.00 | |
| | | three mo | nths | \$ | 950.00 | | \$ 47 | 5.00 | |
| | | four mon | iths | \$ | 1,480.00 | | - | 0.00 | |
| | | five mon | iths | \$ 2 | \$ 2,010.00 | | | 5.00 | |
| | | | | | Fee: | \$ | | | |
| If add | ditional e | extension of | f time is require | d, please co | onsider this | a petition theref | for. | | |
| | | | (check and co | | | | | | |
| | An extension for months has already been secured and the fee paid therefor \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension n requested. | | | | | | | paid therefor of of extension now | |
| | Extension fee due with this request \$ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | O | R | | | | |
| | (b) X Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this cond tional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant h inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of times. | | | | | | nat applicant nat | | |

FEE FOR CLAIMS

The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below: 4.

| | (Col.1) | | (Col. 2) (Col. 3) | | SMALL | ENTITY | OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY | | | |
|---------|--|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--|
| | Claims Remainir After Amendme | ng | Highest No. Previously Paid For | Present Extra | Rate | Addit. Fee | OR | Rate | Addit. Fee | |
| Total | * | Minus | ** | = | x \$ 9 = | \$ | <u> </u> | x \$18 = | \$ | |
| Indep. | * | Minus | *** | = | x \$43 = | \$ | | x \$86 = | \$ | |
| ☐ First | Presentation | on of Mult | iple Dependen | t Claim | + \$145 = | \$ | | + \$290 = | \$ | |
| | | | | | Total Addit. Fee | \$ | OR | Total Addit. Fee | \$ | |
| * lft | he entry in Co | ol. 1 is less t | han the entry in C ly Paid For" IN T | Col. 2, write " THIS SPACE | O" in Col. 3, is less than 20, 6 | enter "20". | | | | |

- If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3". The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

WARNING:

See 37 C.F.R. § 1.116.

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

X No additional fee is required. (c)

OR

Total additional fee required is \$ _____. (d)

FEE PAYMENT

Attached is a check in the sum of \$_____. 5. Charge Account No. _____ the sum of \$ _____. A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: Where there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the case. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6.

✓ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 12-0425

AND/OR

 \boxtimes If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. <u>12-0425</u>

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No.:

JOHN RICHARDS

(type or print name of p.

Tel. No.: ()

Customer No.:

(type or print hame of prantine RICHARDS

C/O LADAS & PARRY

P.O. Address

/ 26 WEST 61st STREET NEW YORK, N.Y. 10023

Reg. No. 31053 (212) 708-1916

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61st Street New York, N.Y. 10023

(Amendment or Response After Final Rejection—Transmittal—page 4 of 4) 9-20

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Solomon Sundar Manoharin

Serial

09/829414

Art Unit:

1755

No.:

Filed: April 9, 2001

Examiner:

C. Melissa Koslow

For:

MAGNTEO RESISTIVE CrO2 POLYMER COMPOSITE BLEND

Attorney Docket

U 013394-2

No.:

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
- EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 1647

Assistant Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Mail Stop AF

RESPONSE TO OFFICE FINAL REJECTION DATED AUGUST 29, 2003

This is in response to the final rejection of August 29, 2003 and follows an advisory action dated February 23, 2004. A notice of appeal was filed on February 26, 2004. Amendments to the claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks commence on page 3.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

 \boxtimes

deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: April 26, 2004

Signature

John Richards

(type or print name of person certifying)